

PHILADELPHIA
ATLANTA
CHARLOTTE
CHERRY HILL
CHICAGO
DALLAS
DENVER
LAS VEGAS
LONDON
LOS ANGELES



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508 215.665.2000 800.523.2900 215.665.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 23 2004

OFFICIAL

NEWARK
NEW YORK
SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
SEATTLE
TRENTON
WASHINGTON, DC
WEST CONSHOHOCKEN
WICHITA
WILMINGTON

FACSIMILE

FROM: Michael B. Fein

TIMEKEEPER NO.: 1746

SENDER'S PHONE: 215.665.4622

SENDER'S FAX: 215.701.2246

OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER):

FILE NAME: Kraton Matter

DATE: February 23, 2004

FILE #: 146800

RECIPIENT(S)	PHONE	FAX
USPTO - Mail Stop: Reissue		703 872-9306

**MESSAGE: Serial No. 10/655,988 - Filed Sept. 4, 2003 - Butadiene Polymers
Having Terminal Functional Groups**

**Attached is Protest Under 37 CFR § 1.291 on 7 pages (including the
certificate of service), plus Declaration in Support of Protest on 2 pages, plus
transmittal page and this cover sheet for a total of 11 pages**

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL 215.665.2000 or 800.523.2900 IMMEDIATELY.

THIS TRANSMISSION IS ALSO BEING SENT VIA:

- ☐ Regular Mail
- ☐ Certified Mail
- ☐ Hand Delivery
- ☐ Overnight Mail
- ☐ Federal Express

NOTICE

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential. It is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended addressee, the reader is hereby notified that any consideration, dissemination or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If the addressee has received this communication in error, please return this transmission to us at the above address by mail. We will reimburse you for postage. In addition, if this communication was received in the U.S., please notify us immediately by phoning and asking for the Fax Center.

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number	10/655,988
		Filing Date	Sept. 4, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Handlin
		Art Unit	1712
		Examiner Name	not known
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	146800

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Protest Under 37 C.F.R. 1.291 Declaration in Support of Protest
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Michael B. Fein
Signature	<i>Michael B. Fein</i>
Date	Feb. 23, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Typed or printed name	Michael B. Fein		
Signature	<i>Michael B. Fein</i>	Date	Feb. 23, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

OFFICIAL
+215 665 7291

DOCKET: 146800
Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911
Serial No. 10/655,988

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 23 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re S. N. 10/655,988
Application to Reissue U.S. Pat. 5,405,911
Filed: Sept. 4, 2003 Group Art Unit: 1712
Inventor: Handlin, Jr., et al.
For: Butadiene Polymers Having Terminal Functional Groups
Notice of Reissue Application Published in O.G.: December 23, 2003

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark
Office at 703 872-9300 on February 23, 2004.

Michael B. Fein
Michael B. Fein, Reg. 25, 333

Mail Stop: Reissue
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PROTEST UNDER 37 C. F. R § 1.291

Sartomer Technology Company, Inc. (Protestor), through its undersigned attorneys, hereby protests the grant of the claims of the above-identified reissue application since each of the proposed claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and 112. Each of the claims is anticipated, obvious, and fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

Below are the claims as proposed in the "Amendment to Claims of Original Patent" filed with the above-identified reissue application and Protestor's comments.

REISSUE APPLICATION CLAIMS

1. (currently amended) A polymer composition, produced by the steps of: reacting one or more compounds with the terminal functional groups on a polymer, and said polymer consists essentially of

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911

Serial No. 10/655,988

polymerized 1,3-butadiene having a peak molecular weight between 500 and 20,000, 1,2-addition between 30% and 70%, and hydrogenation of at least 90% of the unsaturation; a ratio of viscosity (in poise at room temperature) to peak molecular weight raised to the 3.4 power of at most 2.0 times 10^{-9} ; and
about two or more terminal functional groups per molecule.

2. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the terminal functional groups of the polymer are elected from a the group consisting of hydroxyl, carboxyl, phenol, epoxy, and amine groups.

3. Cancelled

4. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 3, wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has a peak molecular weight between 1,000 and 10,000.

5. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 4, wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene is at least 95% hydrogenated.

6. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 5, wherein the ratio of viscosity to peak molecular weight raised to the 2.4 power of the polymer is less than 1.0 times 10^{-9} .

7. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 6, wherein the terminal functional groups of the polymer consist of about two hydroxyl groups per molecule.

8. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the peak molecular weight of the polymer is between 1000 and 10000.

9. (currently amended) The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the 1,2-addition of the polymerized 1,3-butadiene is between 40% and 60%.

10. (original) The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has about two hydroxyl groups per molecule.

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911

Serial No. 10/655,988

11. (currently amended) The ~~polymeric~~ polymer composition of claim 10, wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene is reacted with compounds that form a coating.
12. (currently amended) The ~~polymeric~~ polymer composition of claim 10, wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene is reacted with compounds that form a block selected from the group consisting of polyesters, polyamides, and polycarbonates.
13. (new) The polymer composition of claim 8 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 10,000.
14. (new) The polymer composition of claim 8 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 5,000.
15. (new) The polymer composition of claim 8 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 3,000.
16. (new) The polymer composition of claim 8 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 2,000.
17. (new) The polymer composition of claim 4 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 10,000.
18. (new) The polymer composition of claim 4 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 5,000.
19. (new) The polymer composition of claim 4 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 3,000.
20. (new) The polymer composition of claim 4 wherein the polymer has a peak molecular weight of about 2,000.
21. (new) The polymer composition of claim 1 wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has 1.5 terminal functional groups or more per molecule.
22. (new) The polymer composition of claim 1 wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has 1.5 terminal functional groups per molecule.
24. (new) The polymer composition of claim 1 wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has 1.7 terminal functional groups or more per molecule.
25. (new) The polymer composition of claim 1 wherein the polymerized 1,3-butadiene has 1.9 terminal functional groups or more per molecule.

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911

Serial No. 10/655,988

REMARKS

Prior to the patent owner's filing this reissue application, Protestor brought to the patent owner's attention on May 27, 2003, three references which were not considered during the prosecution of the original patent application and which Protestor believed anticipated the claims of the above-referenced patent. Apparently the patent owner agreed with Protestor that the original patent claims were anticipated by one or more of the three literature references brought to patent owner's attention by Protestor since the current reissue application was filed within a few months thereafter on Sept. 4, 2003. Applicants have cited said three references on the Supplemental Information Disclosure Sheet filed along with the current reissue application as AK, AL, and AM, which are the identifications on applicants' Supplemental IDS, as follows:

AK "Synthesis and Properties of Partially and Fully Hydrogenated Diene Oligomers" by Yves Camberlin, Jean Gole, Jean Pierre Pascault, Jean Pierre Durand, and François Dawans, Makromol. Chem. 180, 2309-2321 (1979).

AL "Saturated Hydrocarbon Polymers for Solid Rocket Propellants" by A. J. DiMilo and D. E. Johnson, M. Macromol. Sch-Chem. A3(7), 1419-1442.

AM "The Hydrogenation of OH-Terminated Telechelic Polybutadienes in the Presence of a Homogenous Hydrogenation Catalysts Based on Tris(triphenylphosphine)rhodium Chloride" by Karel Bouchal, Michal Ilavsky and Eva Zurkova. Die Angewandte Makromolekulare 165, 165-180 (1989).

In order to cure the defect of invalidity of the original patent, Reissue-Applicant has proposed to amend claim 1 by incorporating the limitations of original claim 3, that the polymer has "a ratio of viscosity (poise at room temperature) to peak molecular weight raised to the 3.4 power of at most 2.0×10^{-9} ," and adding the additional limitation that the polymer have "about two [rather than one] or more terminal functional groups per molecule." Applicant has proposed to cancel claim 3, to change the dependencies of claims 2, 4, 6, and 9, and to add dependent claims 13 - 25.

However, the newly proposed limitations to claim 1 do not cure the defects in original claim 1 since such limitations were also anticipated by the newly cited prior art. Furthermore, there is no limitation in any of the dependent claims which cures the defects in the original

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911

Serial No. 10/655,988

claims. Therefore claim 1 and all of the claims dependent thereon, as applicant has proposed to amend them, should be rejected.

Reference AM discloses on page 167 the synthesis of hydroxyl terminated telechelic polybutadienes prepared by anionic polymerization of butadiene on dilithium catalyst and functionalized with ethylene oxide having $M_n=5100$, functionality distribution of $f_0=1$ mol-%, $f_1=9$ mol-%, and $f_2=90$ mol-% (i.e., "about two or more terminal functional groups per molecule"), 1,2-addition of 59.1%, and hydrogenation of greater than 98%. According to the accompanying Declaration of Dr. Taejun Yoo, a polymer made according to such synthesis procedure and having the same properties has a measured viscosity of 114,000 centipoise at room temperature and has a calculated ratio of viscosity (poise at room temperature) to peak molecular weight raised to the 3.4 power of 0.3 times 10^{-9} . Therefore AM fully anticipates claims 1 -12, 14, 18, and 25 as presented in the instant reissue application and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102.

The minor differences between the limitations of claims 13, 15-17, and 19 - 24 versus what is taught and is inherent in the teachings of AM would have been obvious to one skilled in the art and therefore unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103. The selection of a molecular weight of 10,000, 3,000, or 2,000 or terminal functional groups per molecule of 1.5 or 1.7 would have been obvious. These claims should be rejected as obvious over reference AM.

Claims 21-22 are limited to 1.5 terminal functional groups per molecule but are dependent on claim 1 which has been amended to require about 2 or more terminal functional groups per molecule and therefore fails to meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112.

There is no claim 23 and so the numbering in the reissue application amendment is improper.

Claim 24 is limited to 1.7 terminal functional groups but is dependent on claim 1 which requires about 2 terminal functional groups, and is therefore improper under sec. 112.

Claim 25 is limited to 1.9 terminal functional groups but is also dependent on claim 1, again being therefore improper under sec. 112.

Claims 21-25 should therefore be rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 because they are broader than the claims from which they depend.

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911

Serial No. 10/655,988

Furthermore, a reference which was cited and overcome during the prosecution of the original application, Jones, U.S. Pat. 3,629,172, discloses a Polymer No. 9 in Table II in col. 6, which is described in Ex. IV beginning at col. 5, line 50. Polymer 9 is disclosed to be a hydroxy-terminated telechelic butadiene polymer which has a peak molecular weight of 2,600, is hydrogenated so that no more than 5 % of the unsaturation remained, i.e., a hydrogenation of at least 95%, having a vinyl content, i. e., 1, 2-addition, of 52%, which is between the 30% and 70% ratio of the claim, a viscosity in cps. of 36,000, and a ratio of viscosity (poise at room temperature) to peak molecular weight raised to the 3.4 power which can be calculated to be 0.882×10^{-9} , which is below the claims 1 and 10 limitation of "at most 2.0×10^{-9} ." Therefore claims 1 and 10 are fully anticipated by Jones '172. Furthermore, claims 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 20 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102 by Jones '172. Claims 18, 19, 21, 22, and 23 are unpatentable as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Jones '172 because the limitations which they recite are merely variations or selections which would have been obvious.

In conclusion, the proposed amendments to the claims do not overcome the references cited by Protestor to Patent Owner a few months before Patent Owner filed this reissue application, nor do they overcome Jones '172 which was cited during prosecution of the original application, and therefore they do not cure the invalidity which was the reason for which the reissue application was filed.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael B. Fein, Reg. 25,333

Cozen O'Connor PC
1900 Market St.
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215 665-4622
Facsimile 215 791-2246

DOCKET: 146800

Protest to Reissue of Patent 5,405,911
Serial No. 10/655,988

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this paper is being served today, February 23, 2004, as follows:

1. By mailing a true and correct copy of this document and exhibits to Gene L. Tyler, Madan, Mossman & Sriam, 2603 Augusta, Suite 700, Houston, TX 77057 by first class mail with proper postage affixed
2. By facsimile to the USPTO central fax number (703 872-9306) today.


Michael B. Fein